PECHMAN LAW GROUP PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

488 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022 (212) 583-9500 WWW.PECHMANLAW.COM

May 9, 2024

VIA ECF

Honorable Margaret M. Garnett United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 2102 New York, New York 10007

Re: *Belendez-Desha v. JAF Communications, Inc.,* 24 Civ. 741 (MMG) (Letter-Motion re: Extension to File Rule 23 Motion Opposition)

Dear Judge Garnett:

We represent Defendant JAF Communications Inc. in the above-referenced Action. On behalf of our client, we respectfully request a brief extension of one week to file Defendant's opposition to Plaintiff's motion for class action certification under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (ECF No. 17) (the "Rule 23 Motion"). The original deadline is tomorrow, May 10, 2024. The extension requested is until May 17, 2024. Plaintiff's counsel stated earlier today that he takes no position on this request.

This is the first time that Defendant makes this request, which Defendant apologizes for making late. Although counsel is almost done drafting Defendant's opposition to the Rule 23 Motion, Defendant's representative who must review the opposition must be out of the country until the middle of next week. Moreover, counsel has an emergency matter involving sixty-one clients that must be attended to between today and tomorrow. Because of these circumstances, Defendant requests a brief extension of one week to submit its response to the Rule 23 Motion. Defendant of course consents to extending Plaintiff's time to reply to Defendant's opposition.

On behalf of Defendant, we again apologize to the Court for the delayed request and thank the Court for its time and attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Gianfranco J. Cuadra

cc: Counsel for Plaintiff (via ECF)